

PATENT COOPERATION TREA

REC'D 2 2 MAR 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference MICROTECH	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).				
International Application No.	International Filing Dat (day/month/year)	te	Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/AU2003/001523	17 November 2003		18 November 2002			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
Int. Cl. ⁷ H02N 2/18, H02K 35/04						
Applicant						
MICROTECHNOLOGY CENTI	RE MANAGEMENT I	LIMITED et al	_			
<u> </u>						
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6	sheets, including this c	over sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a total						
3. This report contains indications relating	g to the following items:					
I X Basis of the report			·			
II Priority .		•				
III Non-establishment of o						
IV X Lack of unity of inventi						
V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the in	VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII X Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of the report						
8 April 2004		11 March 2005				
Name and mailing address of the IPBA/AU .		Authorized Officer				
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA						
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	-	PETER T. WEST				
Tatominio 110. (02) 0203 3727		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2108				

4



International application No. PCT/AU2003/001523

I.		sasis of the repor					
1.	With	n regard to the elements of the international application:*					
	X	the international application as originally filed.					
		the description,	pages , as originally filed,				
			pages , filed with the demand,				
		•	pages, received on with the letter of				
		the claims,	pages, as originally filed,				
			pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,				
			pages , filed with the demand,				
	<u>.</u>		pages, received on with the letter of				
		the drawings,	pages , as originally filed,				
			pages , filed with the demand,				
	_	•	pages, received on with the letter of				
	Ш	the sequence list	ing part of the description:				
			pages , as originally filed .				
			pages , filed with the demand				
			pages, received on with the letter of				
2.	With	regard to the lang	guage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in				
	Which	h the international e elements were a	application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:				
			a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of tand/or 55.3).	the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2				
3.	With	Vith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international					
	pr	•	ation was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the	international application in written form.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsec	quently to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished					
4.		The amendment	ts have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the des	cription, pages				
		the cla	ims, Nos.				
		the dra	- ·				
5.		This report has go beyond the	been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**				
*	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).						
**	· A	ny replacement shee	et containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report				



International application No. PCT/AU2003/001523

IV.	1	Lack of unity of invention
1.	In res	sponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
		restricted the claims.
		paid additional fees.
		paid additional fees under protest.
		neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
· 2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
		complied with.
	X	not complied with for the following reasons:
		See Supplemental Sheet
4.	Con	asequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report: X all parts.
		the parts relating to claims Nos.



International application No.

PCT/AU2003/001523

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	4, 6, and 7.	YES
	Claims	1, 2, 3, 5 and 8	NO ·
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4 and 7	YES
	Claims	1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 8	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1 to 8	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The following documents identified in the International Search Report have been considered for the purposes of this report:

D1 US 3480808 A (RIETH) 25 November 1969

D2 FR 2478996 A (GUERIN) 2 October 1981

D3 GB 2264208 A (HOBELSBERGER) 18 August 1993

Novelty (N)

D1 discloses the features of claims 1, 5 and 8.

D2 discloses the features of claims 1, 5 and 8.

D3 discloses the features of claims 1, 2, 3, 5 and 8.

Therefore the subject matter of claims 1, 2, 3, 5 and 8 is not new and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT with regard to novelty.

Inventive Step (IS)

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5 and 8 also lack an inventive step for the reasons given above.

Claim 6 lacks an inventive step in the light of any of D1 to D3. A rectification circuit is essential to convert the alternating current produced by coil and magnet generators to direct current and both DC to DC converters and voltage detectors are commonly used in power generators. It is therefore considered that it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to utilise these circuits with the claimed device.

Therefore the subject matter of claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 8 is obvious and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT with regard to inventive step.



International application No. PCT/AU2003/001523

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- 1. There is no antecedent to "membranes" in claim 3 when it is appended to claim 1.
- 2. There is no antecedent to "the membrane" in claim 4 when it is appended to claim 1.
- 3. In claim 7 there is no antecedent to "the <u>voltage</u> produced by the relative movement between the coil and the magnet". This may have been intended to be "the <u>current</u> produced by the relative movement between the coil and the magnet".



International application No.

PCT/AU2003/001523

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of Box IV. (Lack of unity of invention)

The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion this Authority has found that there are different inventions as follows:

1. Claim 4 is directed to an electrical generator having an elongated support which is fixed at one end but free to move, a coil mounted on the moving end and a magnetic field adjacent the coil such that movement of the coil induces an electric current, the support being a piezo membrane which is L shaped and which is fixed at the top of the L and having the coil mounted at the foot of the L so that movement of the coil stresses the membrane so that the membrane produces a voltage.

It is considered that the support being a piezo membrane which is L shaped comprises a first "special technical feature".

2. Claim 6 is directed to a rectification device for a parasitic energy harvester in which relative movement between a coil and a magnet induces an electric current in the coil and having a piezo membrane incorporated into the support for the coil or magnet so that movement also produces a voltage in the membrane and that this voltage is sufficient membrane to power the rectification of the "voltage" produced by the coil.

It is considered that using the voltage produced by the piezo membrane to power the rectification of the "voltage" produced by the coil comprises a second special technical feature.

These groups are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, that is, they do not have any common inventive features, which define a contribution over the prior art. The common concept linking together these groups of claims is a piezo membrane incorporated into the support for the coil so that movement of the coil also stresses the membrane so that the membrane produces voltage. However this concept is not novel in the light of GB 2264208 (HOBELSBERGER). Therefore these claims lack unity a posteriori.